

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,045	07/29/2003	Rene Jean Zimmer	DN2003111	9278	
27280	7590 11/03/2004	EXAMINER			
THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 823			CHOI, LI	CHOI, LING SIU	
1144 EAST MARKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AKRON, OH	I 44316-0001		1713		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/631,045	ZIMMER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
-	The MAILING DATE of this community of	Ling-Siu Choi	1713
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
- External from the control of the c	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Au	iaust 2004.	
		action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.		
٠/١	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ir from consideration.	
_	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
	on Papers	,	
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or h) objected to by the F	Vominan
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) he held in abeyance. See	37 CED 4 95/0)
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is obia	or CFR 1.00(a),
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		102.
12)[] /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 25 H.C.O. \$ 440(-)	(4) (0
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	nonty under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)-	·(a) or (t).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents i	have been received in Application	n No
;	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received	l.
Attachment(	s)		
I) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	OTO 442)
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e
Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac OL-326 (Re	demark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/631,045

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed August 6, 2004. The prior art references cited by the Examiner can not be well understood because they are written in Chinese. Thus, the present Office Action is made as a second non-final rejection even though the ground for rejections is not changed. In view of the Amendment, the claim objections are moved.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. [CN 1368518 (English translation)] in view of Guo et al. [CN 1398923 (English translation)].

The present invention relates to a rubber composition comprising

(a)	100 wt parts of at least one rubber containing olefinic unsaturation
(b)	1-25 phr of a filler
(c)	0.05-5.0 phr of zinc oxide particles having a diameter of less than 20 namometers

(summary of claim 1)

Application/Control Number: 10/631,045

Art Unit: 1713

Wang et al. disclose a rubber composition for the rubber pad under rail, comprising 100 part by weight, 1-5 parts by weight of promoter, 1-3 parts by weight of antiageing agent, 2-10 parts by weight of nanometer zinc oxide powder, 0.2-1.5 parts by weight of sulfurizing agent, 30-60 parts by weight of carbon black, 30-60 parts by weight of an inorganic filler, 0.5-10 parts by weight of tetra-acicular zinc oxide eshisker , and 0.2-5 parts by weight of coupling agent, wherein the rubber composition consists of natural rubber, styrene-butadiene rubber, and cis-1,4-polybutadiene rubber; nanometer zinc oxide has average diameter of 20-40  $\mu$ m (abstract; page 2, line 15-16; page 3-Table 1).

The difference between the present claims and the disclosure of Wang et al. is the requirement of zinc oxide particle having a diameter of less than 20 nanometers.

It is noted that the diameter of nanometer zinc oxide disclosed by Wang et al. is an **average value**. In view of the process to prepare the nanometer zinc oxide, wherein the nanometer zinc oxide is obtained by precipitating zinc nitrate by urea in water at 70-100°C and then dry the resulting precursor of zinc oxide for 1-3 hours at 200-400°C (page 2, lines 13-15), the nanometer zinc oxide would contain some amount of zinc oxide having a diameter falling into the claimed range.

Furthermore, Guo et al.disclose a method to prepare a modified nanometer and sub-nanometer superfine zinc oxide powder (abstract). Guo et al. further disclose that when the diameter of zinc oxide less than 100 nm, zinc oxide would have a specific chemical and physical properties, which is attributed to the quantum effect of size (page 7, lines 10-12). In addition, the case law held that "a prima facie case of obviousness

Application/Control Number: 10/631,045

Art Unit: 1713

exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties." *Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner*, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985). In light of the benefits to have the diameter less than 100 nm, the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to reduce the diameter of the nanometer zinc oxide disclosed by Wang et al. to the claimed range.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reduce the diameter of zinc oxide in the disclosure of Wang et al. to the claimed range by the routine optimization and thereby obtain the present invention.

## Conclusion

4 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1713

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ly & Chai

LING-SUI CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ling -Siu Choi

October 25, 2004